

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/018,876	876 06/13/2002		Andreas Hadler	DNAG 230	2623	
24972	7590	06/21/2005		EXAMINER		
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP				ALIMENTI, SUSAN C		
666 FIFTH AVE NEW YORK, NY 10103-3198		10103-3198		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			3644	·	
				DATE MAILED: 06/21/200	DATE MAILED: 06/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\	ላ				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1				
Office Action Summan	10/018,876	HADLER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Susan C. Alimenti	3644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 M	<u>ay 2005</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 47-83 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 47-83 are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-		• •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	nriority under 25 H.S.C. \$ 110(a)	(d) or (f)					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

M

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- A. The embodiment, as viewed in Figure 1, where the penetrating tip is a complex hollow shape and open.
- B. The embodiment, as viewed in Figure 2, where the penetrating tip is closed by a metal cap.
- C. The embodiment, as viewed in Figure 3, where the penetrating tip is closed by a solid tip insert.
- D. The embodiment, as viewed in Figure 4, where the penetrating tip does not have a recess.
- E. The embodiment, as viewed in Figure 5, where the penetrating tip has a shallow conical recess.
- 2. Upon election of one of the above species A-E, applicant is further required to elect from the following species, as they also are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.
- i. The embodiment, as viewed in Figure 6, of the design of the nose shape of the projectile core.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,876

Art Unit: 3644

ii. The embodiment, as viewed in Figure 7, of the design of the nose shape of the projectile core.

Page 3

- iii. The embodiment, as viewed in Figure 8, of the design of the nose shape of the projectile core.
- 3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: In the present case there is no common "special technical feature" because the general inventive concept set forth in claims such as 47 and 69, does not define over the teachings of the prior art set forth in USPN 1,134,797.
- 4. Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 5. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claims are generic: 47 and 69.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 3644

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 7. examiner should be directed to Susan C. Alimenti whose telephone number is 571-272-6897. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harvey Behrend can be reached on 571-272-6871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SCA

PRIMARY EXAMINER